

UKCPA Poster marking form and guidelines

Notes

Abstracts submitted to the conference are peer reviewed and given a score based on methodology, results, conclusions, writing style and contribution to the field of study. The highest scoring 10 abstracts are then selected to be put forward for the Poster Award at the conference.

Abstract authors are given detailed feedback on their work by the abstract marking teams. To provide context for the Poster Award marking team, the original abstract score and a summary of the feedback given is provided on the mark sheets, but will not contribute to the scoring of the poster.

We ask you to provide **constructive feedback** for the authors on the presentation of their poster; this is important particularly for new presenters who can learn much from the views of expert markers.

Please assess conference/symposium posters on the following:

1. Originality

How original is the study?

How does it contribute to existing knowledge or pharmacy practice?

3. Results

Are the results clearly presented in sufficient detail?

Are appropriate methods used to present data (tables, graphs etc)?

Are the statistical methods used appropriately, with valid analysis?

Does the data support and justify the conclusions?

Does the data and/or evaluation support any recommendations or conclusions?

2. Methodology

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?

Are the methods and measurements adequately and clearly described, including audit standards if appropriate?

Does the poster specify the target (patient) group as appropriate?

Is appropriate reference made to ethics approval status?

4. Presentation

Does the poster have visual impact?

Is the information well presented and easy to understand?

Is there a good use of visual displays of data, images, etc?

